

| | | | |
|---|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 09/830,060 | Applicant(s) SAKAI ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Katarzyna Wyrozebski Lee | Art Unit 1714 | |

All Participants:

(1) Katarzyna Wyrozebski Lee.

(2) Michael Davis.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 April 2004

Time: 1035

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Katarzyna Wyrozebski
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: This interview is following examiner's statement of reasons for allowance, which indicated that the limitation of claim 10 was incorporated into claim 1, now allowed. This interview summary further clarifies that the limitation of 10 and 11 are both incorporated into claim 1. This interview does not change the status of the present invention.